SHORT PAPER

J. Chem. Research (S),
2001, 106–107

A facile method for the synthesis of hepta-O-acetyl- β -D-lactosyl substituted benzoates[†]

Zhiqun Dai, Fanqi Qu*, Chengtai Wu and Wei Li

Department of Chemistry, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, P.R.China

Hepta-O-acetyl-β-D-lactosyl substituted benzoates were synthesised by the condensation of acetobromolactose with substituted benzoic acids in DMF at room temperature in the presence of Et₂N.

Keywords: hepta-O-acetyl-β-D-lactosyl substituted benzoates

In recent years, a steadily increasing research effort has centred on the production of glycosyl esters and glycosides because these compounds have been shown to possess many different biological activities. 1,2 The synthesis of these compounds has been widely reported 3-7 including that of lactosides. 8-12 However, the synthesis of lactosyl ester has not been reported. Here we describe a new simple, mild and stereospecific method for the synthesis of lactosyl esters in the presence of Et₃N. The results are listed in Scheme 1 and Table 1.

Table 1 Yields m.p. and ¹H NMR spectra of compounds 3a-3g

Compd	Ar	Yield/%	m.p./°C	¹ H NMR (H-1 ppm) (J _{1,2} Hz)
3a	2-FC ₆ H ₄	58	92–93	5.89 (8.1)
3b	3-FC ₆ H ₄	62	90–92	5.82 (7.6)
3c	4-FC ₆ H ₄	59	94–96	5.85 (7.8)
3d	2,3,4,5-F ₄ C ₆ H	65	88–89	5.86 (7.5)
3e	C ₆ H ₅	64	90–91	5.82 (7.9)
3f	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	70	105–106	5.86 (7.9)
3g	4-C ₂ H ₅ OC ₆ H ₄	52	97–98	5.87 (7.7)

Lactosyl benzoates (3a-3g) were readily prepared by the condensation of acetobromolactose (1) with substituted benzoic acids (2) with a slight excess of Et_3N at room temperature. The best results were obtained with DMF as the solvent and 1.2 equivalent of Et_3N . The use of ethyl acetate or acetonitrile instead of DMF greatly reduced the yields. The operation of this method is very easy, and the products were purified by simple crystallisation from ethanol in good yields.

The IR data for the lactosyl benzoates has the characteristic absorption of the lactose units in the range of 900–910,1000–1100 and 1200–1300 cm⁻¹. The coupling constants of the anomeric protons of the lactosyl benzoates ranged from 7.5 to 8.1 Hz, and indicated that the glycosidic

linkage between lactose units and benzoic acid had the β -configuration. 14,15

Experimental

Melting points were determined on a Laboratory Devices Mel-temp apparatus and are uncorrected. The IR spectra (KBr) were recorded on a NICOLET 170SX FT-IR spectrophotometer; the ¹H NMR was obtained on a Varian Mercury-VX300 NMR spectrometer for sample in CDCl₃ solution with TMS as internal reference. The mass spectra were taken on a ZAB-3F mass spectrometer. The elemental analysis was determined on a Carlo Erba 1106 elementary analyzer. 2,2′,3,3′, 4′,6,6′-Hepta-O-acetyl-o-D-lactosyl bromide was prepared according to the literature. Substituted benzoic acids were obtained commercially, and were not purified before use.

General procedure: A solution of the substituted benzoic acid (2) (2.0mmol), freshly prepared hepta-O-acetyl- α -D-lactosyl bromide (1) (2.0mmol), Et₃N (2.4mmol) in DMF (20ml) was stirred at room temperature for 6–8h. It was then evaporated under reduced pressure to remove DMF. Ether (20ml) was added, filtered and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude lactosyl benzoates (3a–3g). The products are purified by crystallisation from ethanol.

Compound **3a**: IR: 2965, 1749, 1605, 1509, 1234, 1074, 901 cm⁻¹.
¹H NMR: δ (ppm) 1.97–2.16 (m, 21H, 7OAc), 3.72–3.93 (m, 3H, H-4, H-5 and H-5'), 4.05–4.17 (m, 3H, 2H-6' and H-6), 4.46 (d, 1H, $J_{1',2'}$ =7.2Hz, H-1'), 4.50 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.97 (m, 1H, H-2), 5.12 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.22 (m, 1H, H-2'), 5.32 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.35 (m, 1H, H-4'), 5.89 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =8.1Hz, H-1), 7.55–7.91 (m, 4H, ArH). MS (FAB) 757 (M+–1). Anal. Calcd. for C₃₃H₃₉FO₁₉ C 52.24; H 5.15; Found: C 52.42: H 5.09

Compound **3b**: IR: 2964, 1753, 1593, 1487, 1231, 1071, 908 cm⁻¹.
¹H NMR: δ (ppm) 1.95–2.13 (m, 21H, 7OAc), 3.66–3.87 (m, 3H, H-4, H-5 and H-5'), 4.08–4.23 (m, 3H, 2H-6' and H-6), 4.44(d, 1H, $J_{1',2}$,=7.2Hz, H-1'), 4.52 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.94 (m, 1H, H-2), 5.13 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.27 (m, 1H, H-2'), 5.33 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.36 (m, 1H, H-4'), 5.82 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =7.6Hz, H-1), 7.21–8.06 (m, 4H, ArH).

Compound $\overline{3}$ **c**: IR: 2966, 1748, 1614, 1584, 1234, 1074, 901 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR: δ (ppm) 1.97–2.15 (m, 21H, 7OAc), 3.74–3.90 (m, 3H, H-4, H-5 and H-5'), 4.05–4.17 (m, 3H, 2H-6' and H-6), 4.46(d, 1H, $J_{1:2}$,=7.2Hz, H-1'), 4.50 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.96 (m, 1H, H-2), 5.11 (m,

Scheme 1

^{*} To receive any correspondence. E-mail:bmp@whu.edu.cn

[†] This is a Short Paper, there is therefore no corresponding material in *J Chem. Research (M).*

1H, H-3'), 5.24 (m, 1H, H-2'), 5.30 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.36 (m, 1H, H-4'),

5.85 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =7.8Hz, H-1), 7.12–8.05 (m, 4H, ArH). Compound **3d**: IR: 1754, 1630, 1529, 1223, 1051, 908 cm⁻¹. 1 H NMR: δ (ppm) 1.97–2.16 (m, 21H, 7OAc), 3.69–3.88 (m, 3H, H-4, H-5 and H-5'), 4.05–4.12 (m, 3H, 2H-6' and H-6), 4.47 (d, 1H, $J_{1',2'}$ =7.2Hz, H-1'), 4.50 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.97 (m, 1H, H-2), 5.11 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.20 (m, 1H, H-2'), 5.32 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.36 (m, 1H, H-4'),

5.86 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =7.5Hz, H-1), 7.61 (m, 1H, ArH). Compound 3e: IR: 1752, 1605, 1509, 1231, 1069, 901 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR: δ (ppm) 1.95–2.16 (m, 21H, 7OAc), 3.72–3.91 (m, 3H, H-4, H-5 and H-5'), 4.02-4.17 (m, 3H, 2H-6' and H-6), 4.46 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =7.2Hz, H-1'), 4.50 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.97 (m, 1H, H-2), 5.14 (m, $J_{1,2}$ =7.2Hz, H-1), 4.30 (iii, 111, 11-0), 4.57 (iii, 111, 11-2), 5.14 (iii, 114, H-3'), 5.24 (m, 1H, H-2'), 5.28 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.36 (m, 1H, H-4'), 5.82 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =7.9Hz, H-1), 7.55–8.12 (m, 5H, ArH). Compound **3f**: IR: 1753, 1609, 1532, 1227, 1052, 902 cm⁻¹. ¹H

NMR: δ (ppm) 1.96–2.13 (m, 21H, 7OAc), 3.69–3.87 (m, 3H, H-4, H-5 and H-5'), 4.05-4.14 (m, 3H, 2H-6' and H-6), 4.43 (d, 1H, J_{1'.2'}=7.2Hz, H-1'), 4.53 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.89 (m, 1H, H-2), 5.11 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.25 (m, 1H, H-2'), 5.33 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.38 (m, 1H, H-4'), 5.86 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =7.9Hz, H-1), 7.92–8.33 (m, 4H, ArH).

Compound 3g: IR: 2965, 1754, 1609, 1509, 1231, 1074, 904 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR: δ (ppm) 1.98–2.16 (m, 21H, 7OAc), 3.72–3.94 (m, 3H, H-4, H-5 and H-5'), 4.06-4.17 (m, 3H, 2H-6' and H-6), 4.44 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =7.2Hz, H-1'), 4.50 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.99 (m, 1H, H-2), 5.10 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.22 (m, 1H, H-2'), 5.30 (m, 1H, H-3), 5.35 (m, 1H, H-4'), 5.87 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ =7.7Hz, H-1), 6.92–7.89 (m, 4H, ArH).

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Nature Science Foundation of China.

Received 12 November 2000; accepted 7 January 2001 Paper 00/606

Reference

- 1 Y. Nishikawa and F. Fukuoka, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1976, 24, 387
- 2 R.B. Conrow and S. Bernstein, J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 863
- Y. Chengfang and C. Mengshen, Syn. Commun., 1990, 20, 943
- K. Brewster, J.M. Harrison and T.D. Inch, Terahedron Lett., 1979, **52**, 5051
- 5 C.K. DeBruyne and J. Wouters-Leysen, Carbohydr. Res., 1971, **18**, 124
- 6 D. Dess, H.P. Kleine and R.S. Sidhu, Syn. Commun., 1981, 11, 882
- 7 D. Loganathan and G.K. Trivedi, Carbohydr. Res., 1987, 162, 117
- 8 C. Suoding, F.D. Tropper and R. Roy, Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 6679
- 9 F.D. Tropper, F.O. Andersson and R. Roy, Synthesis, 1991, 734
- 10 L.J.J. Hronowski, W.A. Szarek and G.W. Hay, Carbohydr. Res., 1989, **190**, 203
- 11 D. Yili and L. Yuting, Carbohydr. Res., 1991, 209, 306
- 12 F.D. Tropper, F.O. Andersson and R. Roy, Carbohydr. Res., 1992, 229, 149
- 13 R. Varma, S.Y. Kulkarni, C.I. Jose and V.S. Pansave, Carbohydr. Res., 1984, 133, 25
- 14 A. Bax, W. Egan and P. Kovac, J. Carbohydr, Chem. 1984, 3, 593
- 15 R.U. Lemieux and H. Driguez, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 4069
- 16 R.L. Whister and M.L. Wolfrom, Method in Carbohydrate Chemistry, Vol. II, Newyork and London, 1963, pp. 221.